IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
	Plaintiff,)	
	r iamum,)	
vs.)	No.14-03104-01-CR-S-MDH
)	
SCOTT GOODWIN-BEY,)	
)	
	Defendant.)	

ORDER

Counsel for defendant has filed a motion for continuance of the September 21, 2015, trial setting in the above-captioned case. Defendant has filed a waiver of her rights under the Speedy Trial Act.

In any case in which a plea of not guilty is entered, the defendant's trial shall commence within 70 days from the filing of the information or indictment or the date of defendant's first appearance, whichever comes last. 18 U.S.C. §3161(c)(1)(Speedy Trial Act). However, in computing the time within which trial of any offense must commence, any period of delay which results from a continuance granted by a judge at the request of defendant or his or her counsel, which serves the end of justice, shall be excluded from computing the time. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(A)(B). The Speedy Trial Act requires that the Court set forth its reasons for finding that the ends of justice served by the granting of such continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. Factors which this Court shall consider in determining whether to grant a continuance include whether the failure to grant such a continuance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice and deny reasonable time necessary for

effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

Counsel for defendant was recently appointed to this case and states additional time is

necessary to complete a thorough and proper discovery and investigation in this matter.

to grant the continuance would deny counsel for the defendant the reasonable time necessary for

effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. The Court finds that the

ends of justice served by granting a continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the

defendant's in a speedy trial. The motion for continuance of the September 21, 2015, trial setting

will be granted and the case will be reset for jury trial on the Joint Criminal Docket which

commences on February 8, 2016. It is

ORDERED that the defendant motion for continuance of the September 21, 2015, trial

setting is granted; and it is further

ORDERED the above-captioned case is reset for jury trial on the Joint Criminal Trial

Docket which commences on February 8, 2016, at 9:00 a.m.; and it is further

ORDERED that the pretrial conference set in matter on August 25, 2015, be, and it is

hereby, cancelled; and it is further

ORDERED that the additional period of delay in commencing defendant's trial caused by

this continuance shall be excluded in computing the time within which this trial shall commence

under the Speedy Trial Act.

/s/ David P. Rush

DAVID P. RUSH,

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Date: August 20, 2015

Case 6:14-cr-03104-MDH

Document 38 Filed 08/20/15 Page 2 of 2